

# **CSS Final Exam Grading Rubric**

#### **Examiners**

• Maria Biancone, Diane Landreville, Jennifer Matts, John Rush, Sharon Stewart-Wells, Eric Straus

### <u>Overview</u>

- Examiners must utilize the point scale and grading rubric to evaluate applicants during the Final Exam.
- Examiners may use half or full points between zero and the maximum available score.
- Examiners may not use +/- designations or the like within the scores. Only whole or half points are permitted.
- Applicants must receive 85% or higher (85 points) to pass the Final Exam.
- Applicants may be tested in the Hunter, Equitation, Jumper, or a combination of schooling rings for their Final Exam.
- Applicants should be prepared to spend one full day completing the Final Exam.
- An applicant may complete the Final Exam as many times as needed.
  - Applicants may not retest within 30 days of their previous Final Exam
- Examiners must be the officiating CSS at the competition where the Final Exam takes place.

## Grading Rubric

## Knowledge of Schooling Rules, Safety and Scope of Authority

Knowledge of schooling rules

Assigning Points:

- 8-10 pts Understands and interprets all schooling rules completely and correctly
- 4-7 pts Understands most schooling rules and interprets them well
- 1-3 pts Understands some schooling rules and misinterpreted some
- 0 pts Did not understand and misinterprets schooling rules

## Ability to apply/identify correct schooling procedures

Assigning Points:

- 4-5 pts Was able to identify correct and incorrect schooling procedures
- 1-3 pts Incorrectly identified some schooling procedures
- 0 pts Did not identify unsafe schooling practices and violations of schooling rules

## Knowledge of warning cards/charges, rules, and protests

Assigning Points:

- 8-10 pts Understands when to provide verbal warnings, warning cards, and charges in relation to the schooling rules
- 4-7 pts Mostly understood when to provide verbal warnings, warning cards, and charges in relation to the rules
- 1-3 pts Identified some instances of when to issue a verbal warning, warning card or charge
- 0 pts Displayed lack of knowledge of violations and related rules

Understands the role and the authority of the schooling supervisor

Assigning Points:

- 4-5 pts Understood the responsibilities of a schooling supervisor
- 1-3 pts Understood some responsibilities of a schooling supervisor; does not understand a majority of the responsibilities of a schooling supervisor
- 0 pts Displayed lack of understanding of roles of a schooling supervisor

Knowledge and appropriate use of safety cups

Assigning Points:

- 4-5 pts Displayed a complete understanding of safety cups, their purpose, and when they are being used appropriately
- 1-3 pts Did not identify when safety cups were not being used properly in the schooling area
- 0 pts Displayed a complete lack of knowledge of the importance of safety cups and when they must be used

Identify key schooling area elements

Assigning Points:

- 4-5 pts Identified all key schooling area elements farrier, starter, horse ambulance location and medical services
- 1-3 pts Did not identify two key schooling area elements or identified one element incorrectly
- 0 pts Did not identify more than two key schooling area elements or identified multiple elements incorrectly

#### **Officiating Examination**

Exhibits the ability to interact appropriately with riders, grooms and trainers

Points to consider

- Did the applicant feel comfortable speaking to riders, grooms and trainers?
- Did they speak clearly, effectively and efficiently?
- Is the applicant intimidated during high stress, high profile classes?
- Assigning Points
  - 8-10 pts Applicant was comfortable in the schooling area, not intimated by riders, grooms or trainers, and was an effective speaker
  - 4-7 pts Applicant was slightly uncomfortable in the schooling area, but communicated to riders, grooms or trainers appropriately
  - 1-3 pts Applicant was daunted in the schooling area, did not notify riders, grooms or trainers of a schooling rule violation. Applicant was an average communicator. Applicant did not identify multiple schooling rule violations.
  - 0 pts Applicant was an ineffective speaker, uncomfortable in the schooling area, and did not identify major schooling rule violations

Displays confidence in the application of schooling rules

Points to Consider

- Did the applicant know where the schooling rules are located in the rulebook?
- Did the applicant understand the practical application of schooling rules?
- Was the applicant confident making decisions?
- Was the applicant able to correctly identify permitted vs non-permitted boots?

Assigning Points

- 16-20 pts Applicant understood the schooling rules, was able to find them in the rulebook and understood their practical application.
- 11-15 pts Applicant understood the schooling rules but was timid with their application.
- 6-10 pts Applicant did not understand where the schooling rules are located in the rulebook. Applicant was not confident in their decisions.
- 1-5 pts Applicant made several mistakes while applying schooling rules to their decisions.
- 0 pts Displayed a complete lack of confidence in decision making, made incorrect decisions and did not understand the application of the schooling rules.

Observant of potential safety problems

Points to Consider

- Did the applicant demonstrate the ability to manage a schooling area with multiple jumps?
- Did the applicant identify obvious and unnoticeable safety violations?
- Did the applicant understand schooling rules and how they relate to safety?
- Did the applicant take charge of the schooling area for the benefit of the horse and rider safety?
- Did the applicant keep horse and rider safety a top priority?

Assigning Points

- 12-15 pts Applicant took safety very seriously and always identified safety issues in the schooling area.
- 9-11 pts Applicant has a knowledge of safety in the schooling area and consistently identified safety issues in the schooling area.
- 6-8 pts Applicants failed to identify or disregarded some safety rules.
- 1-5 pts Applicant consistently did not identify safety issues in the schooling area and did not make an effort to rectify the issues.
- 0 pts Applicant had no regard for safety and allowed horses and riders to violate schooling rules related to safety.

Proactive in finding solutions to problems in the schooling area

Points to Consider

• Did the applicant actively try to find solutions for unpredictable situations in the schooling area?

Assigning Points

- 11-15 pts Applicant always attempted to find solutions to unexpected problems. Applicant was proactive and willing to find a solution promptly.
- 6-10 pts Applicant allowed some problems to continue to occur in the schooling area. Applicant was not keen to find solutions for some problems; attempted to make an effort for some problems.
- 1-5 pts Applicant was disinterested in problem solving.
- 0 pts Had complete disregard for solving problems that are clearly schooling rule violations and did not make any effort to find a solution.



# **CERTIFIED SCHOOLING SUPERVISOR Final Examination**

#### PART A: TO BE COMPLETED BY THE APPLICANT

Applicant's Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_ USEF ID #: \_\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Competition: \_\_\_\_\_ Comp ID #: \_\_\_\_\_

#### PART B: TO BE COMPLETED BY CERTIFIED SCHOOLING SUPERVISOR

Name of CSS: \_\_\_\_\_\_ USEF ID #: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Exam: \_\_\_\_\_

Fence heights for which schooling was observed and evaluated: \_\_\_\_\_\_

Approximate number of hours spent with the applicant, observing and evaluating: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Applicants must receive 85 points in order to pass the final examination.

10 5 10 5	
5 10 5	
10 5	
5	
5	
5	
10	
20	
15	
15	
100	
	20 15

Applicant's Strengths:

Applicant's Weaknesses:

Additional Comments:	
Certified Schooling Supervisor's Signature:	Date:
Applicant's Signature:	Date:

The CSS will complete and sign the form and give a copy to the examinee. The applicant is responsible for uploading the completed and signed form to their licensing checklist on the USEF website.